

Heat Storage for Gen IV Reactors

Nitrate Salt Heat Storage

Idaho State University

July 23, 2019

Bruce Kelly

SolarDynamics LLC

Bruce.Kelly@SolarDynLLC.com

This presentation was developed based upon funding from the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, Managing and Operating Contractor for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy.

All Materials Copyright Ó 2017 Solar Dynamics
Not to be redistributed or published without prior written permission

Nitrate Salt Thermal Storage

- Commercial projects
 - Solar parabolic trough and central receiver
 - Two-tank (hot tank and cold tank) designs
 - No thermocline systems have been built to date
- Nitrate salt
- Tank design basis
- Foundation design basis
- Experience from solar thermal projects

Commercial Solar Projects

Project		Capacity, Storage,		Project		Capacity, Storage,	
		MWe	hours			MWe	hours
Andasol-1	Trough	50	7.5	Khi Solar One	Tower	50	2
Andasol-2	Trough	50	7.5	La Africana	Trough	50	7.5
Andasol-3	Trough	50	7.5	La Dehesa	Trough	49.9	7.5
Arcosol 50 - Valle 1	Trough	49.9	7.5	La Florida	Trough	50	7.5
Arenales	Trough	50	7	Manchasol-1	Trough	49.9	7.5
Ashalim Trough	Trough	121	4.5	Manchasol-2	Trough	50	7.5
Aste 1A	Trough	50	8	NOOR I	Trough	160	3
Aste 1B	Trough	50	8	NOOR II	Trough	200	7
Astexol II	Trough	50	8	NOOR III	Tower	150	7
Bokpoort	Trough	55	9.3	Planta Solar 10	Tower	11.02	1
Casablanca	Trough	50	7.5	Planta Solar 20	Tower	20	1
Cerro Dominator	Tower	110	17.5	Solana Generating Station	Trough	280	6
Crescent Dunes	Tower	110	10	SunCan Dunhuang 10 MW Phase I	Tower	10	15
DEWA Tower Project	Tower	100	10	Termesol 50 - Valle 2	Trough	49.9	7.5
DEWA Trough Unit 1	Trough	200	10	Termosol 1	Trough	50	9
DEWA Trough Unit 2	Trough	200	10	Termosol 2	Trough	50	9
DEWA Trough Unit 3	Trough	200	10	Xina Solar One	Trough	100	5.5
Extresol-1	Trough	49.9	7.5	Shagaya	Trough	50	10
Extresol-2	Trough	49.9	7.5	Ilanga	Trough	100	5
Extresol-3	Trough	50	7.5	Supcon Delingha	Tower	10	2
Gemasolar Thermosolar Plant	Tower	19.9	15	Supcon Delingha	Tower	50	7
Kathu Solar Park	Trough	100	4.5	CGN Delingha	Trough	50	9
KaXu Solar One	Trough	100	2.5	Suncan Dunhuang	Tower	100	11


Commercial Solar Projects - Continued

- 250 MWe Solana project, with 6 storage units



Commercial Solar Projects

- 160 MWe Noor I parabolic trough project, with 6 oil-to-salt heat exchangers



Nitrate Salt

- 60 weight percent NaNO_3 and 40 weight percent KNO_3
 - Not the eutectic (50 mole percent each), but less expensive
 - Freezing range of 220 to 240 °C
- Oxidizing material, but chemically stable
 - In air, as the ullage gas in the thermal storage tanks
 - In water, when exposed to leaks in the steam generator
- Very low vapor pressure; less than 20 Pa at 600 °C
- Upper temperature limit of ~ 600 °C
 - First equilibrium reaction: $\text{NO}_3 \leftrightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2$
 - Second (quasi) equilibrium reaction: $\text{NO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{NO}_{(g)} + \text{O}^-$
 - Oxide ions reacts to form nickel oxide, iron oxides, and soluble chromium oxides
 - At oxide concentrations above ~ 200 ppm, corrosion rates exceed commercially acceptable values

Tank Design Basis

- Large volumes (15,000 m³) and low vapor pressures (10 Pa) lead to a flat bottom tank with a self-supporting dome roof as the lowest cost approach
- Necessarily requires the tank to be supported by, and to interact with, a foundation
- ‘Closest’ design code is American Petroleum Institute 650 - Welded Tanks for Oil Storage
- API 650 is limited to 260 °C
 - For higher temperatures, allowable material stresses are taken from ASME B&PV Code Section II - Materials
 - Combination of Codes must be approved by the local Authorized Inspector

Tank Design Basis - Continued

– Materials

- Carbon steel for temperatures below 375 °C
 - Defined by corrosion rate and allowable long-term creep deformation
- Type 304L stainless steel for temperatures between 375 °C and 538 °C
 - Ferritic materials (chrome-moly) offer acceptable corrosion resistance
 - However, the higher chrome alloys require post weld heat treatment
- Type 347H stainless steel for temperatures above 538 °C
 - ‘H’ grade stainless steels (> 0.04 percent C) are required
 - However, the common types, such as 304H and 316H, can be permanently damaged by intergranular stress corrosion cracking
 - Stabilized stainless steels, including Type 321 and Type 347, are less susceptible to intergranular stress corrosion cracking

Tank Design Basis - Continued

- Requirements not specifically addressed in API 650 or ASME Section II
 - The tank must be preheated to 350 °C prior to filling with salt
 - The tank operates through daily pressure and temperature cycles
 - The low cycle fatigue life must be at least 10,000 cycles
 - The tank, when full, can either increase in temperature or decrease in temperature. Friction between the thin floor (6 to 8 mm) and the foundation places the floor into either tension or compression.
 - The EPC must specify weld filler materials, weld procedures, and post weld heat treatments
 - Post weld heat treatment of carbon steel is specified in Section VIII
 - Post weld heat treatment of stainless steel is optional in Section VIII; i.e., an EPC decision
 - Tricky decision for stabilized stainless steels

Tank Design Basis - Continued


- Tank inlet piping and eductor arrangements may not provide perfect mixing, particularly during trip conditions
- Foundation temperatures are high enough to produce soil desiccation and oxidation of organic material. To prevent excessive foundation settlement, cooling must be provided to limit soil temperatures to 75 °C.
- The EPC must develop
 - Tank specifications based on API 650, ASME Section II, Section VIII Division 1 (infinite fatigue life), Section VIII Division 2 (low cycle fatigue life), and modifications to the rules in API 650
 - CFD analyses of flow distributions during transient conditions, and the associated FEA analyses of the floor and wall stresses
 - Operating procedures consistent with a 30-year fatigue life
- The storage system, particularly the hot tank, is neither isobaric nor isothermal

Foundation Design Basis

- Concrete base slab
- Forced convection air cooling of the concrete
- Rigid perimeter ring wall of a refractory material (cast or bricks) to accommodate the concentrated vertical loads from the wall and the roof. Expanded clay as the sole foundation material has repeatedly been shown not to work.
- Expanded glass as the primary insulation material
- Contiguous drip pan to isolate the foundation from a salt leak
 - Salt has a higher thermal conductivity than the insulation
 - Foundation thermal losses will markedly increase due to salt contamination
- Sand layer to reduce friction forces between bottom of the tank and the foundation
 - Reduce the potential for buckling of the thin floor plates


Foundation Design Basis - Continued

- Cooling air ducts in a (somewhat non-representative) tank foundation




Foundation Design Basis - Continued

- Tank foundation cooling air passages



Foundation Design Basis - Continued

- Hot tank foundation



Parabolic Trough Thermal Storage

- Indirect thermal storage
 - Therminol heat transfer fluid in the collector field
 - Nitrate salt thermal storage fluid
 - Oil-to-salt heat exchange during charging; salt-to-oil heat exchanger during discharging
- 300 °C cold tank temperature, and 385 °C hot tank temperature
- All carbon steel construction
- Tank dimension limits
 - 12 m tall based on allowable soil bearing pressures
 - 40 m diameter to avoid ASME Section II requirements for post weld heat treatment of carbon steel with thicknesses greater than 38 mm
- 78 tanks built to date, with only 1 reported leak (perhaps due to a weld defect)

Central Receiver Thermal Storage

- Receiver supplies salt directly to the cold tank or to the hot tank based on diversion valve positions
- 295 °C cold tank temperature, and 565 °C hot tank temperature
- Carbon steel cold tank, and Type 347H stainless steel hot tank
- Tank dimensions are similar to parabolic trough projects
- 4 storage systems built to date: Solar Two; Gemasolar; Crescent Dunes; and Noor III
- No cold tank leaks
- 4 hot tank leaks to date: 2 at Gemasolar; and 2 at Crescent Dunes
 - Primarily due to problems with the foundation
 - No evidence of stress relaxation cracking, intergranular stress corrosion cracking, incorrect selection of weld filler materials, or unexpected corrosion processes

Central Receiver Thermal Storage - Continued

- Revised hot tank design and operation
 - Tank specification addenda to API Standard 650 regarding friction forces between the foundation and the floor
 - For transient conditions, CFD/FEA analyses of salt flow distributions, metal temperature distributions, and floor and wall stress distributions
 - 30-year low cycle fatigue analyses
 - Foundation materials, particularly at the perimeter of the tank, that limit local settlement due to tank thermal expansion and contraction cycles
 - For a given inventory level and temperature, DCS permissives on inlet flow rate and temperature
- An increase in tank dimensions brought new failure modes, but the problems are generally understood and practical solutions are at hand